# REQUEST FOR QUOTATION EVALUATION CRITERIA AND METHOD

## Procurement No: 15-G001-22

## 

## Evaluation criteria and method

From the last time and date of submission of the Quotations to the time the Contract is awarded, if any Tenderer wishes to contact the Buyer on any matter related to its Proposal, it should do so via email to the official email address.

Tenders will not be opened by the Buyer until after the deadline for submission of Quotations. In case of separate submissions of a Technical and Financial Quotation, the Technical Component will be opened at one opening event, normally the day after the submission date, be listed in an opening protocol, submitted to, and thereafter be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee, without unnecessary delay. The Evaluation Committee, which has been appointed in according with the Buyer’s Procurement Laws, will first perform a Technical Evaluation. The Tenders that have passed the Technical Evaluation will thereafter be subject to a Financial Evaluation, which includes a calculation of the total scoring for both the Technical and the Financial Evaluation, in accordance with the formula presented below. The Financial Component will not be opened until after the Technical Evaluation is finalized, and then by a corresponding procedure.

In case Tenders are allowed to be in one submission, only, the above will apply, except for the separate openings.

Selection will be based on the following process. The total possible score for the Technical component is maximum 100 points. 70 % of the score received in the technical evaluation will be added to the obtained financial score, which is maximum 30 points, and calculated as described below.
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### Evaluation of technical components

A Quotation will be rejected at this stage if it fails to respond to important aspects of the Specification. The detailed technical evaluation criteria and possible scores for each are as follows:

| **Major Criteria** | **Details & Sub-Criteria** | **Possible Score** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Firm/consortium’s experience and reputation with similar supply of Goods | * Business registration and Valid license. * At least 2 references showing the similar supply of Goods | 30 |
| Delivery time | * A clear time schedule for delivery of the required items or materials | 30 |
| Specification | * MIA to provide minimum technical requirements such as specifications of the goods to be referred to technical specifications template * Detailed and completed quotes | 40 |
| **Total Possible Technical Score** | | **100** |

### Evaluation of financial components

The total possible score for the financial component is 30 points. The maximum number of points assigned to financial component shall be allocated to the lowest priced Proposal. All other financial Proposals shall receive points in inverse proportion according to the following formula:

*p = y \* ( x / z )*

where:

p = points for the financial Proposal being evaluated.

y = maximum number of points for the financial Proposal.

x = price of the lowest priced Proposal.

z = price of the Proposal being evaluated.

### Evaluation of technical and financial components for total scoring

To determine which Tender that presents the best Value of Money, the score for the technical component is added to the score for the financial component. The totally highest score after this is the best Tender. The formula used for the complete and final evaluation is:

E = (ts\* tw) + ((tc / lc), where

ts = technical result (technical score)

tw = technical weight in % (technical weight)

lc = cost of the lowest financial tender (lowest cost)

tc = cost of the tender being evaluated (tender cost)

fw = financial weight

**Equal scoring result**

In the case if equal results of the total scoring between two or more Tenders, the following shall apply;

1. The highest technical score is awarded the contract
2. If still equal, the equally scored Tenderers will be invited to submit a ‘Best and Final Tender’ on the financial component.
3. Should the above, very exceptionally, not result in determining the best value for money, the award of a contract will be decided by drawing of lots.